

period of time to acquire the specific equipment for which money is appropriated. And the reason for doing that is that historically there will be, particularly in larger agencies, there will be an annual, sort of an annual amount of money available for equipment replacement that is usually related to sort of an amortizing over a period of time, a replacement or inventory replacement, obsolescence replacement that will run fairly consistent year in and year out, but if you have unusual expenses, one-time expenses, usually those are placed in the capital construction budget in order that they can be singled out as a one-time expenditure and will not ever become a part of the operations budget. Those are the kinds of reasons that generally was considered in the proposals that are in LB 814. Others will probably be talking on some of the specifics and we can do that a little later, too, as time permits.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Moore, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, Mr. President and members, I guess I rise with a little bit of difficulty because I am going to be the odd-ball here because I am going to vote for this, and just for my own sake, I want to explain my reasons why. And as I do it, in many ways I guess maybe I am the Judas of the nine, or something like that, because I am going to be the one that is going to go against the other eight and I apologize for that, but, yet, I am being consistent because I voted no on this proposal as it came out of committee. My reasoning was very simple, as I said then, there has been a variety of stances I have taken...I have personally taken on this floor throughout this session, you know, fighting an unpopular battle and cutting down the price tag of LB 89; fighting an unpopular battle sometimes and trying to stop LB 187, indigent care bill; fighting LB 683, the MIRE bill; and fighting the protocol bill, little bills like that that I continually said on that there is things that I have a higher priority than those type of things, and just as last week, some items that I, personally, just, and I am speaking only for myself now, I, personally, tried to oppose getting in the mainline budget bill because I wanted to save room for other things. Well, the problem I have with this capital construction bill is, without pointing fingers and naming any names, there is no reason to, is there is certain items in this bill that are not that high a priority for me. And the one thing I learned early on in my tenure in the Legislature, there is...very few times is there a perfect a bill, and I probably ain't going to learn any quicker on